

CONSEQUENCES OF FAILING ON THE ATTITUDE OF THE STUDENT

Ms Bhagwati Kumari

Research scholar

Dr. Pawan Verma

Supervisor

Calorx Teachers University Ahmedabad, Gujarat

ABSTRACT

The level of contention is encouraging the planet. In this running life, everyone necessities to beat others to reach at next level. In each field of life, the strain to perform well ought to be clear easily. Everyone is so involved to battle with others. Arranging field isn't any more unaffected of this reality. In all honesty, the level of challenge is creating at a fast speed in this field. The level of level of engravings is widening each little move toward turn. Affiliations furthermore select only those students having more raised level of engravings. Taking into account this colossal number of parts; the family members, relatives or even instructors put a high pressure on students to perform well during the tests. Their questions are too high that a few students can't fulfill that and go into wretchedness. The current paper highlights the impact of failure in exam on the performance of student.

KEYWORDS:

Failure, Student, Exam

INTRODUCTION

The situation of the students gets so miserable who even can't float through their tests. Their confirmation is influenced such a ton of that occasionally, it is seen that student commits the breakdown. According to report,

in India, assessed 476 students in a year kick the can due to down and out occurring to getting floundered in the tests. Subsequently, their level of confirmation can be found level such a ton of that they need to make this cumbersome step.

There are different factors which are at risk for this continuous situation. In spite of whatever else, comes the control of family members. In the long run, the entrance has shown up when family members, especially, gatekeepers should recognize adequate that there should be no much weight of coaching on their adolescents. Accepting they handle that their children are losing sureness towards their assessments, they should guide them fittingly to bring their conviction back.

It is tended to that a few gatekeepers are so serious to their children that they force their young people to pick the bearing stream as shown by their craving. Kids are not taken into any kind of conviction while taking decision on future calling field. Consequently, student requirements to change with the continuous situation and if he/she forgets to deal with the circumstances it impacts the status and thusly, a failure in test is seen which thoroughly breaks their sureness.

A student ought to be mentally hard to fight with others. He truly needs to position himself to be never-endingly better in each field of life. He wants to consider the presence a test and needs to deal with all even or odd circumstances.

Frustration in test impacts the introduction of the students. The situation can take a gander at the situation an individual is unlawfully restricted so genuinely for quite a while and a short period of time later gave to work. He can not amass there of frontal cortex of life by goodness of nonappearance of conviction and sureness. A commensurate situation arises in case of students getting barred in tests. Their sureness and conviction level is lower such a great deal of that they treat themselves as they confound overall finished something. Thusly, the energy research work recalls the impact of disappointment for test on the conviction and execution of the students.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The current study is done to analyze the impact of failure of exam on self-esteem and performance of the students.

DELIMITATIONS

1. The study was delimited to analyze the impact of failure of exam on self-esteem and performance of the students.
2. The study was also de-limited to few cities of India.

LIMITATION

The facts discussed in this study were based entirely on the responses to the questionnaire therefore, ascertaining the genuineness of the responses was identified as the limitation of the study.

HYPOTHESIS

On the basis of research finding, literature reviews, expert opinion and scholar's own understanding of the problem, it was hypothesized that the level of self-esteem and performance of student decreases after failure in exam.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Argyropoulos et al. (2010)¹ portrayed that a failure in test can be risky for a student. A few students treat it so in a serious manner that they consider that everything is finished after this slip up. They get so in light of that once in a while, they chose to commit the breakdown.

Atkinson et al. (2010)² depicted that sometimes, following getting a mistake in test, student is trapped with sickening dread. In this kind of situation, their kinfolk, neighbors, accomplices and relatives, etc need to help him/her to stimulate and proceed with all through everyday presence.

Bernal et al. (2010)³ portrayed that it is seen that a few students develop a lot of hypotheses about tests from themselves and after the results are out, in case their suppositions are not fulfilled and they get horrible scores than expected, they go under tension.

Bourdieu et al. (2014)⁴ depicted that the control of guards is extraordinarily essential in a student's life. That is the thing he highlighted guardians, overall, put a lot of pressure on their youngsters to perform well in the tests and when the student excusal to do that then it similarly impacts student's conviction and further execution all through everyday presence.

Passeron et al. (2006)⁵ portrayed that our tutoring framework is reasonably is in peril for horrendous appearance of express students as it is seen that a piece of the students having noticeable commonsense data about the subject necessities to fight a ton to find a fair calling considering lower flaws on a crucial level subjects. Consequently, students give more assessments to the speculative piece of the subjects and if they flop in this, get deterred.

Brassett et al. (2012)⁶ portrayed that show of a student can be affected following to getting a slip up in tests. Organizing can be a good decision for such students true to form to be taken part in that kind of situation of life.

Coleman et al. (2011)⁷ depicted that teacher parent ideas, peer correspondence and plan of the tutoring structure recognize a fundamental part for mental sort of a student following getting bumbled in tests.

Mehta et al. (2011)⁸ portrayed that the control of family members can't be disregarded as they are the central issue of combination for a student in giving energy if any decreasing in sureness is found in the children.

Creemers et al. (2012)⁹ depicted that improvement level of student is other than an enormous variable which surveys how the student answers on getting disappointment in test. If he/she is so evolved good put down almost a reasonable set out some reasonable compromise with the situation ought to be noticeable and hold tight for the ensuing open entry.

Dilworth et al. (2011)¹⁰ depicted that for the students as well as every individual, conviction expects a fundamental part in their lives. If it is broken in view of any mis-happening, it is a truly precarious task to perform well all through standard ordinary presence.

Katsikas et al. (2010)¹¹ depicted that accepting the environment in the family is consistent with stable gigantic climate, it undoubtedly works on the exhibit of the student.

Kelpanidis et al. (2012)¹² portrayed that the relationship among educator and student likewise impacts the introduction of the student as the student gets the right heading about what was in store coordinated.

Abramson et al. (2010)¹³ portrayed that an educator needs to raise the normal students of the class and should give more consideration concerning them so they can fight with the exceptional ones.

J. W. et al. (2013)¹⁴ depicted that few schools figure out instructor orchestrating attempts to manage the difficulty of the students related with arranging.

Sinha et al. (2013)¹⁵ highlighted the meaning of trust in a student's life. He portrayed that students with high affirmation perform well all through ordinary regular presence and those with low sureness don't win so much.

Washington et al. (2012)¹⁶ portrayed that the piece of sureness impacts the presentation of the students. Students with high sureness have an objective in their mind and their show stays at higher center point.

Agarwal et al. (2010)¹⁷ portrayed that gatekeepers shouldn't give a lot of strain to students concerning their results. They should treat it normally paying little psyche to focusing in on it.

Strategy

To meet the specific objectives are the truly critical issues of the constant outline. The framework embraced for the survey is as demonstrated by the going with:

System and Statistical Analysis

This assessment relies on expressive and close examine. The discretionary data was used in this evaluation which will be taken from various assessment articles, streams and related areas. The inspiration driving the persistent assessment is to destroy the impact of disappointment of test on conviction and execution of the students. The expert will see its influences of disappointment of test on sureness of students.

The accomplice data was amassed from various journals, books and technique reports of the public power.

Key data was gathered from the respondents having a spot with the heading region. Portrayed unpredictable model strategy has been followed to see the respondents. A Structured Questionnaire was coordinated, tried and coordinated for mix of data.

Revelations OF THE STUDY

A strong level of sureness comes from various things, but one of them is shrewd achievement, and, shockingly, more unequivocally, making sense of expertise. Students with low selfesteem oversee apprehension and investigation related with scholastics. Low conviction could get students far from managing situations or feel satisfaction from their development and accomplishment. School conditions are where students make a lot of their conviction. This is in light of the fact that such a ton of time is entirely delighted in with their accomplices and those affiliations have an emphatically strong effect in young people. Remarkable school conditions where students feel related with their associates helps lead to courageous students.

Examining dominance is so fundamental in a student's life that having a low capacity to take a gander at can prompt low sureness. Students with low conviction are not commonly consistent with the end result of

overcoming burdens while checking out and may come up short on fitting designs. These two issues add to the instance of low figuring out cutoff points, and students can begin doing whatever it takes not to take a gander at conditions where they recognize they could become embarrassed. The tangled texts that students should see today become disturbing for students who don't have even the remotest sign about the genuine designs to get a handle on them. Regardless, having the genuine systems and adding skills can turn those conditions to positive, conviction building experiences. Studies have shown the way that sureness can obviously influence the inspecting levels of students, especially figuring out care. Students who show a raising position toward inspecting and trust in taking a gander at have more fundamental degrees of educational accomplishment. A raised level of conviction goes likely as a pad against disquiet so students with high sureness are not as resolved to fight with figuring out hardships.

CONCLUSION

Students' social affiliations enormously influence students' conventional schedules and can influence their result in school. Social affiliations basically impact book closes since students look for their accomplices for bearing in various regions. Dear partners support books to each other furthermore will by and large explore the very same things tin examining with each other, they are delivering their level of feeling about dissecting happen with their level of cooperation. Right when accessories look at books past the study way or partake in taking a gander at with each other, they are gathering their level of sureness about inspecting.

REFERENCES

1. Argyropoulos, K. (2010). Occupational stress of teachers. *Contemporary Education*, 106, 38-54 (in Greek).

2. Atkinson, E. (2010). An investigation into the relationship between teacher motivation and pupil motivation. *Educational Psychology*, 20, 45-57.
3. Bernal, J.R. & Torres, M.E. (2010). Successful classroom strategies for students at risk begin with effective in-service training. Paper presented at the ACRES / NRSSC Symposium, Tucson, AZ.
4. Bourdieu, P. & Passeron, J.C. (2014). *Reproduction in Education, Society and Culture*, 2nd edn. Great Britain: Sage.
5. Bourdieu, P. & Passeron, J.C. (2006). *The inheritants. Students and their culture*. Athens: Kardamitsa (in Greek).
6. Brassett-Grundy, A. (2012). *Parental Perspectives of Family Learning. Wider Benefits of Learning Research Report No 2*. London: Institute of Education.
7. Coleman, J. (2011). Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, Supplement, 95-120.
8. Mehta (2011). Communication from the European Commission to the Council and to the European Parliament. Efficiency and equity in European education and training systems. COM 481 final.
9. Creemers, B. P. M. (2012). From school effectiveness and school improvement to Effective School Improvement: background, theoretical analysis, and outline of the empirical study. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 8, 4, 343-362.
10. Dilworth, M.E. & Imig, D.G. (2011). Professional teacher development and the reform agenda. ERIC Document EPO-SP94-1.
11. Katsikas, C. (2010). Family and school performance: the coincidence of a relationship. *New Education*, 74, 121-128.
12. Kelpanidis, M. (2012). *Sociology of Education: Theories and Reality*. Athens: Hellinika Grammata.

13. Abramson, L. Y., Seligman, M. E. P., &Teasdale, J. D. (2010). Learned helplessness in humans: Critique and reformulation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 87,49-79.
14. Atkinson, J. W. (2013). *An introduction to motivation*. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.
15. Sinha, J. W. (2013). Strength of motivation and efficiency of performance. In J. W. Atkinson & J. O. Raynor (Eds.), *Motivation and achievement* (pp. 193-218).
16. Washington, DC: Winston & Sons. Bandura, A. (2012). *Social foundations of thought and action. A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hal.